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## Section 1 Instructor

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | NA | Mean | Std. Dev. | N | Mean | Std. Dev. | N | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 1) The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester. | 7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.86 | 0.38 | 2032 | 4.52 | 0.78 | 17693 | 4.64 | 0.67 |
| 2) The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner. | 7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.86 | 0.38 | 2024 | 4.26 | 1.08 | 17651 | 4.44 | 0.91 |
| 3) The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussion as the class size allowed. | 7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.86 | 0.38 | 1985 | 4.3 | 1.01 | 17334 | 4.53 | 0.82 |
| 4) The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence. | 7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 0 | 2016 | 4.27 | 1.04 | 17549 | 4.49 | 0.84 |
| 5) The instructor was well prepared for class. | 7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 0 | 2009 | 4.32 | 1.04 | 17425 | 4.49 | 0.87 |
| 6) Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course. | 7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.86 | 0.38 | 2018 | 4.19 | 1.14 | 17615 | 4.46 | 0.89 |
| 7) The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair. | 7 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.71 | 0.49 | 2018 | 4.19 | 1.13 | 17618 | 4.41 | 0.95 |
| 8) The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner. | 7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 0 | 1995 | 4.25 | 1.06 | 17542 | 4.42 | 0.93 |
| 9) The teaching assistant was receptive to questions. | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1291 | 4.03 | 1.09 | 12213 | 4.27 | 0.99 |
| 10) The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students. | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1265 | 4.02 | 1.08 | 12012 | 4.26 | 0.99 |

## Section 2 Common University-Wide Items

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outstanding | Above Average | Average | Below Average | Poor | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. Dev. | N | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 11) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.71 | 0.49 | 2036 | 4.02 | 1.14 | 17721 | 4.23 | 0.99 |
| 12) The overall quality of this course is | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.57 | 0.53 | 2031 | 3.92 | 1.16 | 17693 | 4.17 | 1.02 |
| 13) This instructors availability for individual assistance is | 71.4 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.57 | 0.79 | 2032 | 3.98 | 1.13 | 17688 | 4.27 | 0.97 |
| 14) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.71 | 0.49 | 2030 | 4.21 | 1.08 | 17644 | 4.46 | 0.87 |
| Section 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Never |  |  | Very Seldom |  | Quarter of the time |  |  | About half the time |  |  | More than half the time |  |  |
| 15) How often did the instructor come | - 100.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |

$\qquad$

|  | Never | Very Seldom | Quarter of the time | About half the time | More than half the time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16) How often did the instructor either cancel or not show up to teach? | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



## Section 5 Emergency Remote Teaching and Learning Items

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | NA | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| 23) The curriculum changes made to the course during the remote learning period enabled me to continue learning during the COVID19 pandemic. | 7 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  | 4.43 | 1.13 | 1984 | 4.08 | 1.13 | 17184 | 4.28 | 1 |
| 24) The online learning tools used in this course were sufficient for me to continue learning during the COVID19 pandemic. | 7 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  | 4.43 | 1.13 | 1981 | 4.09 | 1.12 | 17133 | 4.3 | 0.97 |
| 25) The assessment methods (e.g., tests, quizzes, assignments) used in this course during the COVID-19 pandemic were appropriate. | 7 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.71 | 0.49 | 1980 | 4.08 | 1.15 | 17109 | 4.31 | 0.98 |

## Section 6 Students' Comments

Mean $=5$ point scale, higher scores desirable

